

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation. It is OUTRAGEOUS!

What ever happened to "equal access for opposing views"? If Sinclair is going to air their one-sided program, "Stolen Honor" as an anti-Kerry opinion piece, I believe they are REQUIRED BY LAW to air a program with an opposite viewpoint such as "Going Upriver".

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to promote more diverse ownership of broadcast stations and other mass media rather than allow only a handful of giant corporations to own the media.

I would like to hear from you and know what you plan to do about this situation.

Thank you.